

4. Every water conveyance facility, whether recorded or not, shall be located on the plat
 5. Plan, profile and typical cross-section drawings of the roads, bridges, culverts, sewers, and other drainage structures.
 6. Grading and drainage plan. The proposed grading plan shall be indicated by solid line contours superimposed on dashed line contours of existing topography for the area of the Final Plat. Such contours shall be at two (2) foot intervals for predominant ground slopes within the tract between level and five (5) percent grade, and five (5) foot contours for predominant ground slopes within the tract over five (5) percent grade. In case of predominantly level topography throughout a subdivision, one (1) foot contour intervals may be required.
 7. Erosion control plan when required, to be submitted as result of Preliminary Plat review.
4. Time Frame: Within forty (40) days after receipt of a completed application, the Development Review Committee shall complete their review of the application and subdivision improvement plan and provide written comments to the applicant as required by Utah Code Title 10, Chapter 9a, Section 604 (§ 10-9a-604.2). However, the review period cycle timeframe is waived if the proposal includes property affected by a geological hazard and more information is needed to review and approve development within the hazard area.
1. Location Is Within One Hundred Feet (100') Of Water Conveyance Facility: Within twenty (20) calendar days after the receipt of the completed application, the City shall notify in writing the Water Conveyance Facility Owner(s) of the Application and request comments related to the following aspects of the water conveyance facility: access, maintenance, protection, safety, and any other issues related.
 1. Any Water Conveyance Facility shall have at least twenty (20) days to respond. While the City may provide comments to the applicant before this twenty (20) day window is complete, the Administrative Land Authority shall not grant approval until after at least twenty (20) days after the day on which the City mailed notice to the Water Conveyance Facility.
 2. Water Conveyance Facility: Shall mean a ditch, canal, flume, pipeline, or other watercourse used to convey water used for irrigation or storm water drainage and any related easement for the ditch, canal, flume, pipeline, or other watercourse. See State Code 73-1-15.5-1b.
5. Review Cycles: The following outlines the review cycles, as intended by Utah State Code 10-9a-604, as amended. If the application includes additional approvals, such as a zone change, overlay approval, annexation, general plan amendment, right of way vacation, or any other legislative action, the review cycle timeline may not apply.

1. When an applicant submits an application, the City reviews the submittal for completeness. If the submittal includes all materials, the City receives the submittal and starts the review cycle. If the submittal is incomplete, the submittal is returned to the applicant.
2. Within forty (40) days the City shall complete a review of the preliminary plan. The review cycle dates are waived if the proposal includes properties affected by a geological hazard and more information is needed to review and approve development in the hazard area.
3. After review, the City will determine if the completed application meets all requirements or requires corrective actions and shall notify the applicant in a written response:
 1. If the application is found to require corrections, the City must be specific and cite the ordinance, statute, or specifications that require the modification. Comments shall be logged in an index of requested modifications or additions. The required corrections are sent to the applicant to prepare a resubmittal.
 2. If the applicant is found to meet all codes, standards, and specifications, the application is forwarded to the Administrative Land Use Authority for review and approval.
4. After receiving the list of required modifications or additions, the applicant's resubmittal shall include a written explanation in response to each of the municipality's review comments, identifying and explaining the applicant's revisions or reasons for declining to make the revisions.
5. The City shall review the resubmittal to ensure that the applicant has responded to each item logged in the index of requested modifications or additions. If the response does not address each item, the City shall return the submittal to the applicant.
 1. If the resubmittal is complete, the City shall continue with the review. The time frame to complete the review depends on how quickly the applicant was able to respond to the corrections in full and if the applicant made any material changes.
 1. If the applicant was able to respond with each requested item in full, and to the satisfaction of the City representative completing the review within the original review cycle of forty (40) days, then the review shall be completed within an additional forty (40) day review cycle.
 2. If the applicant needed additional time beyond the review cycle, then a new review cycle period shall commence with a review period of forty (40) days.